

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 241160US2S 9208 10/632,822 08/04/2003 Yoshinori Matsubara EXAMINER 22850 7590 05/03/2005 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. CLARK, SHEILA V 1940 DUKE STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 2815

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/632,822	MATSUBARA, YOSHINORI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. V. Clark	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 7-9 and 11 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/632,822

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lum.

Lum shows a semiconductor device having a first layer 42, a plurality of first test elements 28, 29, 30 arranged on the first layer and a second layer 14 different from the first layer and is shown with a first top surface and a second opening bottom surface which is shown adhered to the first layer 42.

Col. 3, lines 55-56 teach providing openings in layer 14, which is shown in figure 2 wherein a bottom layer 16 is taught to have openings to match the bump pattern 46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art to provide pads exposed from said openings since said openings are taught to match said bumps and wherein said bumps are typically formed with associated pads. A plurality of pads (not labeled), are inherently arranged in a bottom surface of said second layer to accommodate said bumps.

A plurality of bumps 46 are shown arranged on said pads and a third layer 44 is shown adhered to the second layer via the bumps and solder balls 26 are shown arranged on the third layer and electrically connected to the first test elements. A plurality of second test elements 31, 32, 33, 34 are shown arranged on the first layer

Art Unit: 2815

and electrically insulated from the pads. First and second connection members 40 are shown formed on opposing sides of the device.

Claims 1-6, 10 are rejected.

Claims 7, 8, 9, 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed 2-14-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amended features of the claims are deemed to be taught by Lum as they are broadly recited in the claims

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,822

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark at

telephone number (571) 272-1725.

S. V. Clark

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815

April 30, 2005